



**WORKSTREAM WS5.5 “Training courses materials”**



**Best practices for EUROpean COORDination on investigative measures and evidence gathering**

## **QUESTIONNAIRE**

1. Has Directive of European Investigation Order (DEIO) been transposed into Spanish, Italian and Polish legal system?
2. Is the videoconference a mean to obtain the statement of the accused, witnesses or expert? Does any country not admit it?
3. Are defence lawyers informed on the execution of a cross-border investigative measure in advance?
4. Do you believe that there is (or may exist) a reduction of procedural guarantees in cases where international judicial cooperation takes place in the gathering of evidence?
5. In relation with an EIO, has the lack of harmonization of procedural rights an impact in the respect of procedural guarantees?
6. Are judges, prosecutors and lawyers trained in European law (specifically in instruments of mutual recognition)?
7. Which is the relevance of the training in European law of judges, prosecutors and lawyers in the application of an EIO?
8. Regarding the evidence obtained abroad, do you consider there are enough mechanisms to challenge its validity and admissibility?
9. How is it possible to reduce the length of the criminal proceeding as a consequence of the request or the execution of an EIO?
10. Do you think that the implementation of the EIO will enhance the rights of the defence in cross-border criminal cases within the gathering of evidence?